UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO	
10/540,460 01/17/2006		David Alland	UMD-0112	4649
46046 LICATA & TY	7590 12/17/200 RRELL P.C.	EXAMINER		
66 EAST MAIN	N STREET	MYERS, CARLA J		
MARLTON, N	1 00033		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1634	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/17/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

poreilly@licataandtyrrell.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/540,460	ALLAND ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Carla Myers	1634	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 December 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of chortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the capacitation of the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the capacitation of the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection and the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection in the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection in the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection in the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection in the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection in the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection (s) filed after a final rejection (s) filed after a final rejection (s) filed after a filed aft	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	will <u>not</u> be entered be	cause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		E below),	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	**	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: The proposed amendment raises new issuclaims have been amended to recite a "modified at not previously require this limitation. Rather, the claims as amended now require that the efficiency a reaction containing a hairpin primer and a templa limitation. Thus, further search and consideration with application in better form for appeal by materia requiring further search and consideration have be 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	mplification refractory mutation (AR aims as previously presented allow or threshold cycle or amount of amoute with no mismatches. The claims would be required. As a result, the pully reducing or simplifying the issue en raised by the proposed amendn	MS) assay", where as ed for any type of ass aplified product is come and not previously recorposed amendments of a speak since new ments to the claims.	s the claims did ay. Further, the pared to that in quire this s do not place w issues See 37 CFR
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all 		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-7.		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation			•
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

/Carla Myers/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1634

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20081210

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance for the reasons of record in view of the non-entry of the after final amendment. Applicant's arguments are limited to the claims as presented in the after final response of 12/4/08. These arguments are considered to be moot because the after final amendment has not been entered.